

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/308,408	06/28/1999	ANDREAS FELLINGER	FLA-0010	6097
75	90 09/30/2002			
D. PETER HOCHBERG CO., L.P.A.			EXAMINER	
	H STREET-6TH FLOOF OH 44114-2294	₹	LUONG, SHIAN TINH NHAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			A
•	Application No	Applicant(s)	
	09/308,408	09/308,408 FELLINGER, ANDRE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shian T. Luong	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cove	r sneet with the correspondence a	daress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how unication.) days, a reply within the statutory midutory period will apply and will expire will, by statute, cause the application is	rever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>22 <i>July</i> 2002</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2	2b)☐ This action is non-f	înal.	
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi Disposition of Claims			the merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) 16-22 is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ard	e withdrawn from conside	ration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election require	ement.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			
Applicant may not request that any obje			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed			ner.
If approved, corrected drawings are req		ction.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority of 	documents have been rec	eived.	
2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been rec	eived in Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action 	ational Bureau (PCT Rule	ave been received in this Nationa 17.2(a)). opies not received.	al Stage
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).
a) The translation of the foreign land 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1		Notice of Informal Patent Application (P	

Application/Control Number: 09/308,408 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 16 and 18 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roulin et al (US 5,695,063). Roulin et al disclose two flexible film materials that are sealed at reference element 11 as shown in Figures 1A-1C to form a plurality of compartments. The cover sheet is torn by finger pressure. Roulin et al disclosed on column 1, lines 24-30 that "Pushthrough packs are e.g., such that the lid material is of aluminum foil or an aluminum foil laminate. Aluminum foil is a preferred material for the lids on a blister package, as the thickness of the material employed requires relatively little force for it to rupture. The foil is so thin in Roulin et al that even if the reference does not specifically disclose rupturing of the package by placing a finger directly on the cover, the cover is inherently capable of being rupture by pushing the foil inwardly. Applicant argues that the blister pack is not sufficiently flexible such that the storage device can be kept in stock rolled up or concertinaed. This assertion is inaccurate. The blister pack is made out of both flexible foil and flexible plastic material and hence is sufficiently flexible to be kept in a rolled up position.
- 3. Claim 18 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grabowski (US 5,954,204). Grabowski discloses a blister package comprising a flexible cover and a

Application/Control Number: 09/308,408 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

flexible base. The cover is torn by finger pressure. Applicant's argument that the blister pack of Gabrowski cannot be kept in a rolled up position is not persuasive. The blister pack is made out of both flexible foil and flexible plastic material and hence is sufficiently flexible to be kept in a rolled up position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 17 and 19 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roulin et al in view of Troll et al or Gregory et al. Roulin et al disclose all of the elements, but lacks the convex shaped compartment as recited in claims 17 and 19. However, Troll et al suggest providing, for example only, a convex shaped compartment with a lid sheet thereover. Gregory et al also teach a compartmented package with convex shaped base layer. Hence, it would have been obvious in view of Troll et al or Gregory et al to provide a convex shaped compartment for the base sheet of Roulin et al to conform to the shape of the intended article. Applicant's argument concerning Roulin is inaccurate. The specification on column 1, lines 24-45 states that "The present invention...the so-called push through packs. Push-through packs are e.g. such that the lid material is of aluminum foil or an aluminum foil laminate. Aluminum foil is a preferred material for the lids on blister packs as the thickness of the material employed requires relatively little force for it to rupture..." Applicant further argues that one cannot gain

Application/Control Number: 09/308,408 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

access to the content due to the resistance and back pressure of the base layer. This is also not convincing. The traditional blister packages such as the ones for cold medication are made out of flexible cover and base. When one presses the foil inward, there is no resistance on the base and hence the foil would rupture. Companies such as Warner-Lambert Consumer Healthcare usually manufacture these types of packages. Therefore, the argument is not persuasive.

6. Claims 20-21 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references applied above with respect to claim 18, further in view of Hasegawa et al substituting for Official Notice. Roulin et al does not suggest using paper as the covering film. However, Hasegawa et al suggest, as an example, a blister pack with a sterilized paper cover. It would have been obvious in view of Hasegawa et al to use paper as the cover layer for the package of Roulin et al as conventionally selected by one of skill in the art suitable for the intended purpose.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/308,408

Art Unit: 3728

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners, M.P.E.P. 203.08. The **Group clerical receptionist number is** (703) 308-1148 or the **Tech Center 3700 Customer Service Center number is** (703) 306-5648.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Valerie Douglas at (703)308-1337.

For applicant's convenience, the Group Technological Center FAX number is (703) 305-3579 or (703)305-3580. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify Examiner Luong of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

Application/Control Number: 09/308,408

Art Unit: 3728

Inquiries concerning the merits of the examination should be directed to Shian Luong whose telephone number is (703) 308-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:00 am until 4:00 pm (EST).

STL

September 23, 2002

Primary Examiner Shian Luong

Page 6

Art Unit 3728